A Natural extra-dimensional origin for the LHCb anomalies
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We study the possibility of explaining the recently found anomalies in B-meson decays within scenarios with a composite Higgs boson. This class of models provides a natural way to fit the experimental results, interpreting the anomalies as the result of the exchange of heavy vector resonances with electroweak quantum numbers. The anomalies are tightly related to deviations in $\Delta F = 2$ transitions and to deformations of the $Z$ and $W$ couplings, whose size is of the order of the present experimental bounds. This leads to a very predictive scenario which could be soon tested at collider experiments.

1 Introduction

Flavor observables can provide excellent probes of beyond the Standard Model (SM) physics. In particular rare $B$-meson decays due to the $b \to s \ell^+\ell^-$ transition, which are loop and CKM suppressed in the SM, can be tested with good accuracy at $b$-factories and at the LHC, and constitute a privileged channel to test the lepton flavor universality (LFU) hypothesis.

Recently the LHCb collaboration measured the ratio of the $B$ decays into a $K^{+,*}$ and a pair of muons or electrons\textsuperscript{1,2}, finding deviations of $\sim 2.5\sigma$ from the SM predictions ($R_{K^{(*)}} = 1$)

\begin{align}
R_K &= \frac{\text{BR}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+\mu^-)}{\text{BR}(B^+ \to K^+ e^+e^-)} = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \pm 0.036 \quad 1 \text{ GeV}^2 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2, \\
R_{K^*} &= \frac{\text{BR}(B^+ \to K^{*+} \mu^+\mu^-)}{\text{BR}(B^+ \to K^{*+} e^+e^-)} = \begin{cases} 
0.660^{+0.110}_{-0.070} \pm 0.024 & (2m_\mu)^2 < q^2 < 1.1 \text{ GeV}^2 \\
0.685^{+0.113}_{-0.069} \pm 0.047 & 1.1 \text{ GeV}^2 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2
\end{cases}.
\end{align}

These results are quite intriguing since they are obtained in ‘clean’ channels with low theoretical uncertainties. They seem to point towards a sizable violation of LFU.

Additional deviations from the SM predictions have also been found in related observables, namely the semi-leptonic branching ratios of $B \to K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B_s \to \phi\mu^+\mu^-$ and in the angular distributions of the decay $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ (in particular the $P_5'$ observable).\textsuperscript{3}

A departure from LFU in $b \to s \ell^+\ell^-$ decays may be due to non-universal new-physics contributions to the effective operators

\begin{align}
O_{9}^{(i)\ell} = (s_{L,R\gamma\mu} b_{L,R})(\bar{\ell}\gamma\mu\ell), \quad O_{10}^{(i)\ell} = (s_{L,R\gamma\mu} b_{L,R})(\bar{\ell}\gamma\gamma\gamma\ell). \quad (3)
\end{align}

\textsuperscript{a}Speaker.
The fit to the present data prefers a negative shift in $C_9^\mu$, possibly correlated to a positive contribution to $C_{10}^\mu$. This pattern of deviations can be explained if new physics is present that couples dominantly to the muon field.

Several theoretical analyses proposed interpretations of the anomalies within a BSM perspective. The most obvious possibilities are extensions of the SM involving new massive $Z'$ bosons or leptoquarks. A shortcoming of many of these constructions is the fact that the BSM dynamics has no fundamental reason for being present, other than explaining the $B$ anomalies. In the following we use a different approach: we do not add ad-hoc new states, but instead we try to connect the LHCb anomalies to some BSM dynamics whose main motivation is addressing the EW Hierarchy Problem. A natural way to do this, as we will discuss in the following sections, is to focus on BSM scenarios with a composite Higgs and a new strongly-coupled dynamics.

2 The $B$-meson anomalies in composite Higgs scenarios

In this section we provide a power-counting analysis of the $B$-meson anomalies in the context of composite Higgs theories. In order to keep the discussion as general as possible, we will not specify whether the Higgs is a generic composite “mesonic” state (as in Randall-Sundrum (RS) scenarios) or is a (pseudo-)Goldstone boson. Although the estimates can vary by order one factors, the main qualitative features remain the same in the two scenarios.

In theories with new strongly-coupled dynamics two natural candidates can give rise to $\Delta F = 1$ effective operators involving the $b$ and $s$ quarks: the exchange of heavy vector resonances with electroweak (EW) quantum numbers (analogous to $Z'$ states), and the presence of flavor-changing interactions of the SM $Z$ boson.

We start by discussing the former effect, whose origin can be qualitatively understood from the diagram in the left panel of fig. 1. In principle, contributions to all $O_{9,10}^{(t)}$ operators can be present. The size of each contribution is determined by the amount of compositeness (i.e. the size of the mixing with the composite resonances) of the $L$ and $R$-handed chiralities of the $b$ quark and of the muon. In general one expects the $b_L$ field to have a sizable amount of compositeness, since it forms a doublet with the $L$ field. The large top Yukawa requires both top chiralities to be strongly mixed with the composite dynamics. The $b_R$ component, on the other hand, has typically a small mixing with the composite states, since its compositeness is related to the size of the bottom Yukawa. This pattern of compositeness implies that the largest new physics effects are expected in the $O_{9,10}^{(t)}$ operators, while $O_{9,10}^{(s)}$ are typically smaller.

Let us now focus on the lepton sector. Since the experimental data seem to point towards a violation of LFU, we assume that the muon and the electron have different amount of compositeness. In particular, the safest option is to assume that the electron is an almost elementary state with tiny compositeness, whereas the muon compositeness can be sizable. In this scenarios one generates only contributions to $O_{9,10}^{(t)}$ and not to $O_{9,10}^{(s)}$. The small size of the electron Yukawa, tells us that in natural scenarios only one muon chirality can have a large compositeness. If the $\mu_R$ is a composite state one gets new-physics contributions that follow the pattern $O_{9}^{(t)} = O_{10}^{(t)}$. This possibility is strongly disfavored by the data. The other option is to assume a sizable compositeness for the $\mu_L$, leading to $O_{9}^{(t)} = -O_{10}^{(t)}$, which can provide a very good fit to the

![Figure 1 – Schematic structure of the diagrams giving rise to contributions to the $O_{9,10}$ effective operators through the exchange of a heavy vector resonance (left panel) or through a flavor changing $Z$-boson coupling (middle and right panel). The thick lines denote composite resonances coming from the strongly coupled dynamics.](image)
The vector resonances contributions to the $O_{9,10}^\mu$ operator coefficients can be estimated as \(^{6,9}\)

$$\Delta C_9^\mu \simeq - \Delta C_{10}^\mu \sim - \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{G_F a_{em}} s_{bl} s_{\mu L}^2 \left( \frac{g_\rho}{m_\rho} \right)^2 \simeq -0.4 \left( \frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{m_\rho/g_\rho} \right)^2 \left( \frac{s_{bl}}{0.3} \right)^2 \left( \frac{s_{\mu L}}{0.3} \right)^2 , \quad (4)$$

where $s_{bl}$ and $s_{\mu L}$ parametrize the sine of the mixing angle between the $b_L$ and $\mu_L$ fields and the composite partners, $m_\rho$ is the mass of the vector resonances, while $g_\rho$ is the size of the coupling characterizing the strongly-coupled dynamics. To obtain the above estimate we assumed that the rotation matrix that diagonalizes the down-type quark masses is approximately given by the CKM matrix, as happens in generic composite Higgs scenarios. One can see that the estimate in eq. (4) can easily reproduce the values needed to explain the anomalies in $B$-meson decays\(^4\)

$$\Delta C_9^\mu = - \Delta C_{10}^\mu = -0.61 \quad \text{best fit}, \quad \Delta C_9^\mu = - \Delta C_{10}^\mu \in [-0.87, -0.36] \quad \text{at 2} \sigma \text{ C.L.} \quad (5)$$

A good agreement with the fit requires vector resonances with a mass $m_\rho \sim \text{few TeV}$ and a $b_L$ and $\mu_L$ compositeness $s_{bl} \sim s_{\mu L} \sim 0.3$.

A second set of new physics contribution to the $O_{9,10}^0$ operators can come from flavor changing currents mediated by the $Z$ boson. The flavor changing effects can be induced after EW symmetry breaking (EWSB) by the mixing of the $Z$-boson with heavy vector resonances and by the mixing of the SM fermions with composite partners with different quantum numbers. Examples of diagrams giving rise to these effects are shown in the middle and right panel of fig. 1. Since the coupling with the leptons is due to the SM $Z$ current, these effects give rise to lepton-flavor universal contributions. In particular the largest contributions are the ones to the coefficients of the $O_{10}^\mu$ operators, whose size can be estimated as \(^{6,9}\)

$$\Delta C_{10}^\mu = \Delta C_{10}^\mu \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{G_F a_{em}} s_{bl}^2 \frac{g_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2} . \quad (6)$$

Notice that contributions to $C_{10}^\mu$ are proportional to the $b_R$ compositeness angle, so they are typically suppressed. Moreover contributions to the $O_{10}^0$ operators are accidentally small due to the smallness of the vector coupling of the $Z$ to charged leptons, which is suppressed by a factor $1 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W \simeq 0.08$ with respect to the axial coupling.

Since the contributions in eq. (6) are lepton-flavor universal, they do not modify the $R_K$ and $R_K^*$ observables, so they play a marginal role in fitting the $B$ anomalies and, for simplicity, we will not take them into account in the fit. Notice moreover that these effects are directly related to the modifications of the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$ coupling (see fig. 2), whose size can be estimated as

$$\frac{\delta g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}}{g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}^{SM}} \sim s_{bl}^2 \frac{g_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2} \frac{v^2}{2} , \quad (7)$$

where $v = \langle h \rangle \simeq 246$ GeV is the Higgs vacuum expectation value. The current bounds on the deviations of the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$ coupling are of order $|\delta g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}/g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}^{SM}| \lesssim 10^{-3}$.\(^10\)

By using $\delta g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L} \simeq V_{tb} \delta g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}$ we can translate the bound on the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$ deviations into an upper bound on the contributions to the $O_{10}^\mu$ operators:

$$|\Delta C_{10}^{\text{v}_{\mu}}| \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{G_F a_{em}} \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\delta g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}}{g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}^{SM}} \lesssim 1 , \quad (8)$$

\(^b\)The pattern of compositeness we described before is almost mandatory in models with a Goldstone Higgs. For instance it is directly realized in the quark sector in anarchic partial compositeness scenarios. In models with a “mesonic” Higgs, instead, large mixings of all the chiralities with the composite dynamics are possible, although they need to be compensated by ‘unnaturally’ small values of the Yukawa couplings of the Higgs with the composite partners.

\(^c\)To estimate the bound we took into account the fact that deviations in the $Zb_R\bar{b}_R$ coupling are small due to the small $b_R$ compositeness.
which tells that these effects are not dangerously large.

It must be noticed that the $Z$ couplings to down-type quarks can be protected by imposing a $P_{LR}$ symmetry. This symmetry reduces the deviations in the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$ coupling as well as the flavor-changing interaction $Zb_Ls_L$, hence it naturally suppresses the contributions to $O_{10}^{\mu\nu}$.

The corrections to the $O_{9,10}$ operators are also directly connected to additional new-physics effects, whose size is strongly constrained experimentally. An unavoidable effect is the generation of contributions to $\Delta F = 2$ flavor-changing transitions involving down-type quarks. As shown in the left panel of fig. 2, the exchange of vector resonances give rise to the effective operators

$$O_{\Delta F=2}^{LL} \sim s_{b_2}^4 \left( \frac{g_\rho}{m_\rho} \right)^2 (V_{3i}^s V_{3j}^{-})^2 (\tilde{d}_{iL} \gamma^\mu d_{jL})^2 = \frac{1}{(10 \text{ TeV})^2} \left( \frac{s_{b_2}}{0.3} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{m_\rho/g_\rho} \right)^2 (V_{3i}^s V_{3j}^{-})^2 (\tilde{d}_{iL} \gamma^\mu d_{jL})^2. \tag{9}$$

Notice that these operators can also be induced by colored vector resonances, which in RS scenarios typically arise from the largest contributions. The values of $m_\rho$ and $s_{b_2}$ required to explain the $B$-anomalies give rise to contributions to $\Delta F = 2$ processes not far from the present bounds $C_{\Delta F=2}^{LL} < 1/(5 \text{ TeV})^2$. Additional contributions can also be generated for the $\Delta F = 2$ operators with $LR$ and $RR$ chiralities. These are however not very large since the $b_R$ compositeness is relatively small.

As we mentioned before, the presence of vector resonances and the sizable $b_L$ compositeness can give rise to deviations in the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$ couplings. Analogous effects are there for the muon. In the absence of a custodial $P_{LR}$ protection we expect the $Z_{\mu\mu}\bar{\nu}_L$ coupling to acquire corrections

$$\frac{\delta g_{Z_{\mu\mu}\mu L}}{g_{Z_{\mu\mu}\mu L}^{\text{SM}}} \sim \frac{g_\rho^2}{s_{\mu L}^2 m_\rho^2} v^2. \tag{10}$$

The deviations in this coupling are constrained to be $|\delta g_{Z_{\mu\mu}\mu L}/g_{Z_{\mu\mu}\mu L}^{\text{SM}}| < 5 \times 10^{-3}$.

Thus they can give a strong bound on the $\mu_L$ compositeness. Notice that, since also the $\nu_\mu$, which belongs to the same $SU(2)_L$ multiplet as the $\mu_L$, has a sizable compositeness, the couplings $Z\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_L$ and $W\mu\nu_\mu$ acquire corrections of the order

$$\frac{\delta g_{Z\nu_\mu\nu_\mu}}{g_{Z\nu_\mu\nu_\mu}^{\text{SM}}} \sim \frac{\delta g_{Z\nu_\mu\nu_\mu}}{g_{Z\nu_\mu\nu_\mu}^{\text{SM}}} \sim \frac{g_\rho^2}{s_{\mu L} m_\rho^2} v^2. \tag{11}$$

These couplings can be bounded from the measurement of the Fermi constant in muon decays and from the LEP measurement of the invisible $Z$ width. Both constraints give bounds of the order of few $\times 10^{-3}$. It is interesting to notice that, if the $Z_{\mu\mu}\bar{\nu}_L$ couplings are protected by the custodial $P_{LR}$ symmetry, the couplings involving the neutrinos can not have such protection at the same time. Thus the bound on the $\mu_L$ compositeness is unavoidable in these scenarios.

Comparing the estimate of the contributions to $C_9^{\mu}$ in eq. (4) with the size of the deviations in the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$ and $Z_{\mu\mu}\bar{\nu}_L$ couplings in eqs. (7) and (10) we find

$$\Delta C_9^{\mu} \approx -\Delta C_{10}^{\mu} \sim -0.4 \left( \frac{m_\rho/g_\rho}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\delta g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}/g_{Zb_L\bar{b}_L}^{\text{SM}}}{10^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{\delta g_{Z_{\mu\mu}\mu L}/g_{Z_{\mu\mu}\mu L}^{\text{SM}}}{5 \times 10^{-3}} \right). \tag{12}$$
This means that, in generic models without $P_{LR}$ protection, sizable values for $C_{9,10}^\mu$ that could explain the $B$ anomalies are correlated to deviations in the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$, $Z\mu_L\bar{\nu}_L$, $Z\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu$ and $W\nu_\mu$ couplings of the order of the present experimental bounds. This result strongly reduces the parameter space region compatible with the $B$ anomalies, making the composite Higgs explanation a very predictive scenario. We will see this mechanism at work in the explicit model we present in the next section.

Since we are considering scenarios with a large lepton compositeness, we might wonder about possible large flavor violating transitions in the lepton sector. Particularly dangerous are possible contributions to the $\mu \to e\gamma$ process, which imply a bound of tens of TeV on the mass scale of the resonances in anarchic composite Higgs models. To avoid these effects we need to assume that the rotations that diagonalizes the charged lepton mass matrix are very close to the identity, so that flavor changing interactions with the vector resonances are not generated. This can be obtained by imposing a $U(1)^3$ flavor symmetry in the lepton sector broken only by the tiny effects due to the neutrino masses.

3 An explicit model

We now present an explicit model that can explain the $B$ anomalies. This scenario is analogous to the usual RS set-up, the only difference being a modified background metric, which departs from conformality around the IR brane. The details of the model have been discussed in ref.\textsuperscript{13}. The metric has the form $ds^2 = e^{-2A(y)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2$, where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $y$ is the coordinate along the extra dimension. The warp factor is determined by the dynamics of the scalar field $\phi$ which stabilizes the size of the extra dimension. Its action has the form

$$S_\phi = M^3 \int d^4x dy \sqrt{-g} \left( R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_M \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right) - M^3 \sum_\alpha \int d^4x dy \sqrt{-g} 2V^\alpha(\phi) \delta(y-y_\alpha),$$

(13)

where $V^\alpha (\alpha = 0, 1)$ are the UV and IR brane potentials localized at $y_0 \equiv y(\phi_0)$ and $y_1 \equiv y(\phi_1)$ respectively, and $M$ is the 5D Planck scale.

The dynamics of $\phi$ can be described by a superpotential $W(\phi)$, defined by $V(\phi) \equiv \frac{1}{2} W'(\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{3} W(\phi)^2$. The background equations then reduce to $A(y) = \frac{1}{2} W(\phi(y))$ and $\dot{\phi}(y) = W'(\phi)$, where $\dot{X} \equiv dX(y)/dy$, and $Y \equiv dY(y)/d\phi$. The localization of the branes is governed by the effective potentials $U_\alpha(\phi) \equiv V_\alpha(\phi) - (-1)^\alpha W(\phi)$. The boundary conditions together with the equations of motion lead to $U_\alpha(\phi)|_{y=y_0} = U_\alpha(\phi)|_{y=y_1} = 0$. In order to solve the Hierarchy Problem, the brane dynamics should fix $(\phi_0, \phi_1)$ to get $A(\phi_1) - A(\phi_0) \approx 35$. We will fix $\phi_1 = 5$, while $\phi_0$ is used to fix the length of the extra-dimension. In the following we assume the dynamics of $\phi$ to be characterized by the analytic superpotential $W(\phi) = 6k(1 + e^{\phi/\mu_0})$, where $a$ is a real dimensionless parameter (which we set to $a = 0.2$ for our numerical analysis), and $k$ is a mass parameter related to the curvature along the fifth dimension.

We assume that a 5D gauge invariance is present, whose gauge group coincides with the SM one $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. In addition, we consider a Higgs field propagating in the bulk. EWSB is triggered by an IR brane potential. The localization of the Higgs is controlled by the parameter $\alpha$ in the bulk mass term $M^2(\phi) = \alpha k \left( ak - \frac{3}{2} W(\phi) \right)$ and is connected to the amount of tuning related to the Hierarchy Problem.\textsuperscript{15} Values $\alpha \gtrsim 3$ correspond to a natural theory.

The gauge fields are decomposed in KK modes as $A_\mu(x, y) = \sum_n f^{(n)}_A(y) A^{(n)}_\mu(x)/\sqrt{M_1}$, where $f^{(n)}_A(y)$ satisfies Neumann boundary conditions and bulk equations $(m_1^{(n)} + (e^{-2A(y)} - M_0^2) f^{(n)}_A) = 0$, where $m_1^{(n)}$ denotes the mass of the $n$-th KK mode and $M_0(y)$ is the mass term induced by the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs.\textsuperscript{15} We plot $f^{(n)}_A$ in fig. 3 (left).

The SM fermions are realized as chiral zero modes of 5D fermions. The localization of the different fermions is determined by the 5D mass terms $M_{f_L,R}(y) = \mp c_{f_{L,R}} W(\phi)$. The zero modes are localized near the UV (IR) brane for $c_{f_{L,R}} > \frac{1}{2}$ ($c_{f_{L,R}} < \frac{1}{2}$). A value $c_{f_{L,R}} < \frac{1}{2}$
As we discussed in the previous section, contributions to the $\mathcal{O}_{10}^\mu$ operators are generated by the exchange of heavy vector resonances, in particular by the KK modes of the $Z$-boson and of the photon. LFU can be broken by the different localization of the various lepton generations. The leading flavor violating interactions with the vector KK modes have the form\footnote{The relevant part of the Lagrangian can be written as \[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{EW}} = \sum_{X = Z, \gamma} \frac{X_n^\mu}{2\alpha} \left[ V_{3L}^\dagger V_{3j} f_{L,R}^{(n)} \right] d_j \left[ \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_L^X_n \right) P_L + \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_R^X_n \right) P_R \right] + \text{h.c.}, \] where $X$ denotes a vector field such as $Z$ or $\gamma$, $\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant, and $V_{3j}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.}

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{EW}} = \sum_{X = Z, \gamma} \frac{X_n^\mu}{2\alpha} \left[ V_{3L}^\dagger V_{3j} f_{L,R}^{(n)} \right] d_j \left[ \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_L^X_n \right) P_L + \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_R^X_n \right) P_R \right] + \text{h.c.}, \] (14)

3.1 Reproducing the $B$ anomalies

As we discussed in the previous section, contributions to the $\mathcal{O}_{10}^\mu$ operators are generated by the exchange of heavy vector resonances, in particular by the KK modes of the $Z$-boson and of the photon. LFU can be broken by the different localization of the various lepton generations. The leading flavor violating interactions with the vector KK modes have the form\footnote{The relevant part of the Lagrangian can be written as \[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{EW}} = \sum_{X = Z, \gamma} \frac{X_n^\mu}{2\alpha} \left[ V_{3L}^\dagger V_{3j} f_{L,R}^{(n)} \right] d_j \left[ \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_L^X_n \right) P_L + \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_R^X_n \right) P_R \right] + \text{h.c.}, \] where $X$ denotes a vector field such as $Z$ or $\gamma$, $\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant, and $V_{3j}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.}

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{EW}} = \sum_{X = Z, \gamma} \frac{X_n^\mu}{2\alpha} \left[ V_{3L}^\dagger V_{3j} f_{L,R}^{(n)} \right] d_j \left[ \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_L^X_n \right) P_L + \left( g_b^X_n - \bar{g}_R^X_n \right) P_R \right] + \text{h.c.}, \] (14)
where $c_W \equiv \cos \theta_W$, $P_{R,L} = (1 \pm \gamma_3)/2$, $V_{ij}$ are the CKM matrix elements, and $g_L^{X_n}$ are the couplings of $d_1$ and $d_2$ to the KK vectors. The couplings in Eq. (14) give rise to the contribution to the $C_{\mu\nu}^{bl}$ Wilson coefficients

$$
\Delta C_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = -C_{10}^{\mu\nu} = -\sum_{X=Z,\gamma} \sum_{n} \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}G_F \alpha_{EM} c_W M_n^2} g_{\mu\nu}^{X_n} (g_{b_L}^{X_n} - g_{d_L}^{X_n}) .
$$

The largest contributions come from the exchange of the first KK excitations, $Z_1^\mu$ and $\gamma_1^\mu$. The additional contributions are suppressed by the larger masses of the higher states, and lead to subleading corrections.

3.2 Constraints

The $Z$ boson couplings to SM fermions are modified by vector KK modes and fermion KK excitations. After summing over the KK levels, the full result reads

$$
\delta g_{b_{L,R}} = -g_{b_{L,R}}^{SM} m_2^2 \alpha_{b_{L,R}} \pm g_v^2 \beta_{b_{L,R}}/2 ,
$$

where $\alpha_{b_{L,R}}$ and $\beta_{b_{L,R}}$ are defined in ref. 16. The main experimental constraints on the $Zb_Lb_L$ coupling come from the observables $R_b$, defined as the ratio of the $Z \to b\bar{b}$ partial width to the inclusive hadronic width, and $A_{FB}^b$, the forward-backward asymmetry of the bottom quark 12. We show in the middle panel of fig. 4 how the bounds on $c_{b_L}$ vary as a function of the parameter $\alpha$, which determines the amount of tuning in the Higgs sector. Values $\alpha \gtrsim 3$ correspond to a completely natural theory, while $\alpha < 3$ corresponds to exponentially large tuning. Analogously to $Zb_Lb_L$, the massive KK modes also induce modification on the muon couplings. The result is obtained from Eq. (16) with obvious substitutions. If we want to avoid fine tuning, the current bounds on the distortions of the muon coupling to the $Z$ implies $c_{\mu L} \gtrsim 0.4$. Similar bounds are obtained from the distortion of the $Z\nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$ and $W\mu\nu_\mu$ couplings.

Another important set of constraints comes from $\Delta F = 2$ flavor-changing processes mediated by 4-fermion interactions. The main new physics contributions to these processes come from the exchange of gluon KK modes. The current bounds on the $\Delta F = 2$ contact operators 17 can be translated into constraints on the quantities

$$
\sum_{n} (g_{b_{L,R}}^{G_n})^2 / M_n^2 [\text{TeV}] \leq 0.14 , \quad \sum_{n} g_{b_L}^{G_n} g_{b_R}^{G_n} / M_n^2 [\text{TeV}] \leq 3 \times 10^{-4} .
$$

The first constraint leads to $c_{b_{L,R}} \geq 0.43$. The allowed configurations in the $(c_{b_L}, c_{b_R})$ plane are shown in the right panel of fig. 4.

4 Conclusions

The results of our analysis are summarized in fig. 5, which shows the parameter space that allows to fit the flavor anomalies. The horizontal and vertical black lines show the amount of fine tuning in the Higgs sector needed to pass the EW constraints. A completely natural scenario corresponds to 100%, whereas lines of 40% and 1% lead to a certain level of tuning.

We find that our extra-dimensional set-up can easily explain the anomalies in $B$-meson decays, as a direct consequence of the LFU violation induced by a sizable compositeness for the left-handed bottom and muon components. In agreement with the general estimates presented in section 2, the interplay between the $B$-meson data and the constraints from EW measurements (in particular the $Z$ couplings to the $b_L$ and $\mu_L$ and the $\Delta F = 2$ transitions) singles out a preferred region of the parameter space in which all the bounds are satisfied with a small amount of tuning. Incidentally, this region also predicts the presence of a light dilaton-like state, which could be detectable at hadron colliders.

To conclude we mention that also the anomalies found in $D$-meson decays by the BaBar, Belle and LHCb Collaborations can be easily explained in our scenario by assuming a sizable compositeness for the $\tau_L$ field 18.
Figure 5 – Region in the plane \((c_{bL}, c_{\mu L})\) that fits the \(B\) anomalies. The region to the left of the vertical dashed green line is excluded by Eq. (17). The fine-tuning needed to pass the constraints on the modification of the \(Z_{\mu L, \bar{L}}\) \((Z_{bL, \bar{L}})\) coupling is shown by the black dashed, dotted and dot-dashed horizontal (vertical) lines.
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